



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ഔദ്യോഗികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LIII

വോല്യം 53

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY

തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

21st October 2008
2008 ഒക്ടോബർ 21

29th Aswina 1930
1930 ആശ്വിനം 29

No.

നമ്പർ

42

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1829/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 7th July 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri K. S. Chandran, M/s C. K. K. M. Pharmaceuticals, Post Box No. 13, Hill Palace Road, Thripunithura-682 301 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. R. Prasad, Plantharayil House, c/o Padavamplakkil, XXIX/76-D, Kidangu Road, Thekkumbhagam, Thripunithura in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the 'termination of service' of Shri P. R. Prasad by the management of M/s C.K.K.M. Pharmaceuticals, Thripunithura is justifiable or not? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1842/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th July 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Anumon S/o Ibrahim Rawther, Beena Bhavan, Adhikkattu Kulangara, Palamel Village, Alappuzha District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by The Secretary, Industrial Employees Sangh (B.M.S.), B.M.S. Office, Cherthala P.O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial

dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to 19 workers (list enclosed) by the management of Sanjeevani Ayurvedic, Kaveumpadu, Nooranadu P.O. is justifiable? If not, what are the relief they are entitled to?

LIST OF 19 WORKERS

1. Sri Jayan
2. „ Asokan
3. „ Manoj
4. „ Sivankurty
5. „ Balachandran
6. „ Murali
7. „ Kunjukrishnan
8. „ Lekshmanan
9. „ Babu
10. Smt. Rathnamma
11. „ Sudha
12. „ Archana
13. „ Ambili
14. „ Nabeesa
15. „ Sobha
16. „ Pushpa
17. „ Ponnammam
18. „ Vijayamma
19. „ Anitha

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1843/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th July 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Althaf Ismail Proprietor, Favour-ita International, 14/1570, Raja Manzil, Chullickal, Kochi-682 005 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by The General Secretary, Ernakulam District Engineering and Industrial Workers Union, Head Office, Valanjambalam, Building No. 39/3086, Ernakulam, Kochi-16 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to the following 13 workmen of Favour-ita International namely:

1. Muhammed Ali
2. A. S. Basheer
3. K. K. Purushothaman
4. P. Prakasan
5. P. L. Joseph Sebastian (Boban)
6. B. Raju
7. M. Raja
8. M. N. Saju
9. S. Sanu
10. A. B. Muhammed
11. N. Prakashan
12. M. Binu
13. Appu

by the management is justifiable or not? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1844/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th July 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Transformers and Electricals Kerala Limited, Angamaly South P. O., Pin 683 573 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. N. Anantharaman, Puthen Theruvil House, Manakkapady, Karumallur P. O., North Paravoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri P. N. Anantharaman, Operator Gr. II, TELK, Angamaly by the management is justifiable? 2. If not, what relief he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

K. CHANDRAN,

Under Secretary to Government.